OPEN ACCESS

African Journal of Microbiology Research

7 November 2018
ISSN 1996-0808
DOI: 10.5897/AJMR
www.academicjournals.org

ABOUT AJMR

The African Journal of Microbiology Research (AJMR) is published weekly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

The African Journal of Microbiology Research (AJMR) provides rapid publication (weekly) of articles in all areas of Microbiology such as: Environmental Microbiology, Clinical Microbiology, Immunology, Virology, Bacteriology, Phycology, Mycology and Parasitology, Protozoology, Microbial Ecology, Probiotics and Prebiotics, Molecular Microbiology, Biotechnology, Food Microbiology, Industrial Microbiology, Cell Physiology, Environmental Biotechnology, Genetics, Enzymology, Molecular and Cellular Biology, Plant Pathology, Entomology, Biomedical Sciences, Botany and Plant Sciences, Soil and Environmental Sciences, Zoology, Endocrinology, Toxicology. The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles are peer-reviewed.

Contact Us

Editorial Office: ***ajmr@academicjournals.org***

Help Desk: ***helpdesk@academicjournals.org***

Website: ***http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/AJMR***

Submit manuscript online ***http://ms.academicjournals.me/***

Editors

Editors

African Journal of Microbiology Research

Table of Content: Volume 12 Number 41 7 November, 2018

ARTICLES

Vol. 12(41), pp. 938-946, 7 November, 2018
DOI: 10.5897/AJMR2018.8986
Article Number: 881614759186
ISSN: 1996-0808

http://www.academicjournals.org/AJMR

African Journal of Microbiology Research

Full Length Research Paper

Antibiotics resistance patterns of Panton-Valentine leukocidin-positive methicillin-resistant staphylococci isolated from clinical samples in Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire

Sylvie M. Kouamé-Sina[1*], N'Golo D. Coulibaly[1], Fernique Konan[2], Yakoura K. Ouattara[3], Kan S. Kouassi[1], Solange Ngazoa-Kakou[1], Nathalie K. Guessennd[2] and Adjéhi Dadié[3]

[1]Platform of Molecular Biology, Pasteur Institute, 01 BP 490 Abidjan 01, Côte d'Ivoire.
[2]National Reference Center for Antibiotics, Pasteur Institute, 01 BP 490 Abidjan 01, Côte d'Ivoire.
[3]Department of Food Science and Technology, Nangui Abrogoua University, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire.

Received 15 September, 2018; Accepted 29 October, 2018

Methicillin-resistant staphylococci have emerged as significant pathogens which cause various infections and its multidrug resistance is a major concern. This study aimed to determine the prevalence of Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL) gene and antibiotic resistance patterns of staphylococci isolated from clinical infections in Abidjan. A total of 35 staphylococci strains was obtained from 35 clinical samples (pus, blood, pleural fluid, sputum, wound, and urine), then, characterized by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to differentiate *S. aureus* from coagulase-negative staphylococci (CNS) and to detect the presence of PVL genes (*LukS*). The antimicrobial susceptibility was performed using disk diffusion method and the phenotype of resistance to macrolides-lincosamides-streptogramin B (MLSB) was detected. Out of 35 strains, 80% (28/35) were methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and 20% (7/35) were methicillin-resistant CNS (MR-CNS). *S. aureus* were isolated from 75% of outpatient samples and 84.2% of inpatient samples. However, CNS were isolated from 25% of outpatient samples and 15.8% of inpatient samples. *LukS* were detected in 68.6% of strains (20 MRSA and 4 MR-CNS) and both inpatients and outpatients. The highest resistance rates were observed for penicillin (100%), cefoxitin (100%), ciprofloxacin (66%), tobramycin (66%), tetracyclin (66%), sulphamethoxazole-trimethoprim (63%), erythromycin (60%), kanamycin (57%) and gentamicin (54%). In addition, *S. aureus* strains were subdivided into five antibiotics resistance phenotypes: 57.1% belonged to phenotype 1 (Methicillin-resistant and susceptible to Kanamycin-Tobramycin-Gentamicin) followed by 25% of phenotype 4 (Resistant to Methicillin-Kanamycin-Tobramycin-Gentamicin), 7.1% of phenotype 2 (MR with constitutive MLS_B), 7.1% of phenotype 5 (MR and resistant to Kanamycin-Tobramycin-Gentamicin with inducible MLSB) and 3.6% of phenotype 3 (MR with inducible MLS_B). CNS strains were grouped in three phenotypes (1, 4 and 5). 100% of *LukS* positive MRSA were multi-drug resistant, with 45% of strains resistant to 6 or more antibiotics. The high level of multi-drug resistance of clinical PVL positive staphylococci with inducible MLS_B, suggest increasing the monitoring of these pathogens in Côte d'Ivoire.

Key words: Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), Panton-Valentine Leukocidin, inducible MLS_B, multi-drug resistance.

INTRODUCTION

Staphylococcus aureus and coagulase-negative staphylococci (CNS) are Gram-positive opportunistic pathogens that cause various diseases, ranging from localized mild infections to invasive life-threatening diseases (Pedroso et al., 2018; Tong et al., 2015). However, CNS have emerged as significant pathogens causing nosocomial infections (Lenart-Boron et al., 2016; Nanoukon et al., 2017). *S. aureus*, especially methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) strains increase the occurrence of serious infections (Skov et al., 2012) which are among the most frequent bacteria in healthcare-associated infections. Skin and soft tissue infections due to *S. aureus* are most common, whereas pneumonia, osteomyelitis, endocarditis, and sepsis, although less usual, account for greater morbidity and mortality (Tong et al., 2015; Dong et al., 2013). *S. aureus* possesses an arsenal of virulence factors that contribute to evasion of host defenses. These virulence factors, including toxins, exoenzymes, and adhesins, which are secreted or linked to cell membrane and fight against the action of antibiotics. Antibiotic resistance in both hospital-acquired methicillin resistant *S. aureus* and community-acquired MRSA strains has increased the difficulty to treat these infections (Messina et al., 2016; Shopsin et al., 2016). Most MRSA strains can also produce a leukotoxin as Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL), a bicomponent cytotoxin encoded by prophage that increases their virulence and can cause skin and soft tissue infection and necrotizing pneumonia (Shallcross et al., 2013; van der Meeren et al., 2014; Yanagihara et al., 2009). PVL is a member of pore forming toxins that targets host leukocytes. Two open reading frames are responsible for coding PVL, that is, LukS-PV and lukF-PV (Abdulgader et al., 2015). The MRSA strains are widely distributed around the world with quick evolution of antimicrobial resistance and it is a high priority according to WHO to found new antibiotics (Willyard, 2017). WHO global report on surveillance of antimicrobial resistance lacked information from the majority of the countries in sub-Saharan African countries or lacked data on priority pathogens such as MRSA (WHO, 2014). However, a recent retrospective review performed on wound infection between 2004 and 2016, had shown the prevalence of MRSA in Benin (34.6%), Congo (31.9%), Togo (14.3%) and Madagascar (14.5%) (Lai et al., 2018). *S. aureus* colonizes about one third of healthy humans and is most often found in the nose (Kaspar et al., 2016). A study in Burkina Faso, showed that the rate of *S.aureus* nasal carriage was 32.9% with 29% in healthy volunteers and 37% in hospital patients in Bobo Dioulasso. In addition, the percentage of MRSA strains isolated from hospital patients was very low (2.3%) with high prevalence of strains harboring PVL-encoding genes (45%) (Ouedraogo et al., 2016). Nosocomial infections are a major threat in most of the hospitals and as high as 19% in the developing countries, where number of direct contacts between the hands of Health Care Workers and the patient occurs, which mandates the strict adherence to infection control practices and standards (Maheshwari et al., 2014). In Ghana, Saba et al. (2017) isolated MRSA (17%) from handles and other points of contact in a public hospital environment. In the Burn Center of Korle Bu Teaching Hospital in Ghana, 50% of patients were infected with *S. aureus* including MRSA (Amissah et al., 2017). In Côte d'Ivoire, previous studies have reported the occurrence of *S. aureus* strains harboring PVL gene. During 2004 and 2005, two outbreaks caused by the same clone of doxycycline resistant, PVL-positive methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* (MSSA) were characterized in two French military companies (Lesens et al., 2007). In the Cocody University Teaching Hospital, Kacou et al. (2011) have reported high rate of PVL positive *S. aureus* strains (67.7%) from clinical samples in hospitalized patients. However, Zinzendorf et al. (2012) showed a high rate (77.4%) of clinical *S. aureus* strains from patients expressed PVL gene in Abidjan Military Hospital. The increasing prevalence of staphylococcal virulence factor, PVL, and MRSA strains isolated from patients is a growing problem. Constant surveillance and adequate infection control measures for *S. aureus* may reduce their roles in the incidence of nosocomial diseases and other infections. Update data on *S. aureus* resistances are particularly important to know the type of antibiotic resistance for establishing adequate therapeutic approaches. The objective of this study was to deternine the prevalence of PVL gene and antibiotic resistance patterns of *Staphylococcus* species isolated from patients in Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection

Pasteur Institute of Côte d'Ivoire is a laboratory where patients from different hospitals in Côte d'Ivoire are referred for medical tests. The clinical samples (n=35) were collected from 16 outpatients referred to Pasteur Institute and 19 inpatients of Cocody University Teaching Hospital., Samples of inpatients came from surgery (n=5), maternity/neonatal (n=2), neurology (n=1), obstetrics/gynecology (n= 2), pediatrics (n=3), pulmonology (n=2), emergency (n=3) and urology (n =1) services of Cocody University Teaching Hospital.

All samples were collected from Pasteur Institute between February and September, 2017 (Table 1).

*Corresponding author. E-mail: kouamesylviemireille@yahoo.fr. Tel: 00225 58030673.

Table 1. The source of clinical samples.

Type of clinical samples	Outpatients clinical samples	Inpatients clinical samples
Pus (n=19)	10	9
Blood (n=11)	4	7
Pleural fluid (n=1)	0	1
Sputum (n=2),	0	2
Wound (n=1)	1	0
Urine (n=1)	1	0
Total (n=1)	16	19

Table 2. List of primers used in this study.

Gene	Primers	Sequence of primers (5'-3')	Size of amplified product (bp)	Reference
16S rRNA	16S rRNA-F 16S rRNA-R	GCAAGCGTTATCCGGATTT CTTAATGATGGCAACTAAGC	597	
FemA	FemA-F FemA-R	CGATCCATATTTACCATATCA ATCACGCTCTTCGTTTAGTT	450	Al-Talib et al. (2009)
LukS	LukS-F LukS-R	CAGGAGGTAATGGTTCATTT ATGTCCAGACATTTTACCTAA	151	

Staphylococci isolation

Bacteria were isolated and identified in the Department of Bacteriology at Pasteur Institute of Côte d'Ivoire. The phenotypic identification of staphylococci species was carried out by standard microbiology methods including Gram staining, catalase activity, mannitol fermentation and the ability to coagulate rabbit plasma (Kateete et al., 2010; Amini et al., 2012). Molecular characterisation of strains was carried out in the Molecular Biology Laboratory at Pasteur Institute of Côte d'Ivoire.

Molecular characterization of strains

DNA extraction

Bacterial DNA was extracted by boiling method (Kacou et al., 2011; Oliveira et al., 2014). An overnight culture of staphylococci strains in Brain-Heart Infusion (1.5 mL) was centrifuged (12000 rpm, 5 min) and the supernatant was discarded. The pellet was dissolved in 400 µL deionized water and the suspension was heated at 100°C for 10 min and then immediatly frozen at -20°C for 10 min. Finally, after centrifugation for 10 min at 12000 rpm, the supernatant containing bacterial DNA was stored at -20°C for further analysis.

Detection of staphylococcal genes

All strains were screened for the presence of three *Staphylococcus* genes by modified method of PCR amplification previously described by Kacou et al. (2011). DNA extracts were used as template in the PCR. The multiplex PCR was performed to identify the *Staphylococcus* genus (*16S rRNA* gene), to differentiate *S. aureus* from CNS (*FemA* gene), and to detect PVL toxin (*LukS*) genes simultaneously. The PCR was performed in a final volume reaction of 25 µL containing 9.75 µL nuclease-free water (Ambion), 5 µL PCR buffer (5X), 1.5 µL magnesium chloride ($MgCl_2$, 25 mM) (Promega Corporation, Madison, USA), 0.5 µL Deoxynucleotide Triphosphates (dNTPs; 10 mM), 0.5 µL of each primer (20 mM) (Table 2), 0.25 µL Go Tag®G2 Flexi DNA polymerase 5 U/µL (Promega Corporation, Madison, USA) and 5 µL of template DNA. The PCR method was performed according to the following program: initial denaturation (94°C, 5 min), 35 cycles each composed of initial denaturation (94°C, 30 s), primer annealing (60°C, 1 min) and extension (72°C, 1 min) and a final extension (72°C, 5 min). Primers used in this PCR were previously reported by Al-Talib et al. (2009) (Table 2). A previously known (Kacou et al., 2011) *LukS* gene positive *S. aureus* strain was used as a control strain. PCR amplification products were revealed on a gel Doc EZ® imager (Bio-Rad) after electrophoresis in 2% agarose gel containing Syber safe (Invitrogen).

Antimicrobial susceptibility

Susceptibilities were determined using the disk diffusion method in accordance with the performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, recommended by the Committee of Antibiogram of French Society of Microbiology (CA-SFM/EUCAST, 2016). The susceptibility testing was carried out by culturing strains on Mueller-Hinton agar (Bio-rad, Marne-la- coquette, France). Antibiotics used for susceptibility testing included penicillin 6 µg, cefoxitin 30 µg, ciprofloxacin 5 µg, norfloxacin 5 µg, gentamicin 10 µg, kanamycin 30 µg, tobramycin 10 µg, netilmicin 10 µg,

Table 3. *Staphylococci* strains isolated in clinical samples.

Type of clinical samples	Number of strains from outpatients		Number of strains from inpatients	
	S. aureus	**CNS**	***S. aureus***	**CNS**
Pus (n=19)	9	1	9	0
Blood (n=11)	1	3	4	3
Pleural fluid (n=1)	0	0	1	0
Sputum (n=2)	0	0	2	0
Wound (n=1)	1	0	0	0
Urine (n=1)	1	0	0	0
Total (n=35)	12	4	16	3

CNS: Coagulase-negative staphylococci.

erythromycin 15 µg, clindamycin 2 µg, tetracyclin 30 µg, minocyclin 30 µg, tigecyclin 15 µg, chloramphenicol 30 µg, fusidic acid 10 µg, sulphamethoxazole-trimethoprim 25 µg, rifampicin 30 µg, vancomycin 30 µg, and teicoplanin 30 µg. Susceptibility to methicillin was screened with the cefoxitin disk diffusion result. All antibiotics were obtained from Bio-rad. It should be indicated that the reference strain *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 were used as positive-control and provided by the National Reference Center for Antibiotics, Pasteur Institute of Côte d'Ivoire. The macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin B (MLS_B) group of antibiotics can be used to treat less severe skin and soft tissue infections (Liu et al., 2011). Strains resistant to both erythromycin and clindamycin in routine antibiotic susceptibility testing were considered constitutive MLS_B phenotypes. Inducible MLS_B phenotypes were identified using a double-disk diffusion test (D-test). Erythromycin 15 µg and clindamycin 2 µg disks were placed on a Mueller-Hinton agar plate containing a lawn culture of the test isolate at a distance of 15 mm edge to edge. After incubation at 35°C for 16 to 18 h, strains that showed no flattening of the inhibition zone around the clindamycin disk were reported as susceptible to clindamycin (negative D test); strains that showed flattening of the inhibition zone around the clindamycin disk adjacent to erythromycin disk (D zone) indicated inducible clindamycin resistance (positive D test). Strains with a D-shaped zone of inhibition were considered $iMLS_B$ Phenotype (resistant to erythromycin and susceptible to clindamycin) (Kumari et al., 2016; Pereira et al., 2016). Multidrug resistance (MDR) was defined as resistance to at least three distinct antimicrobial classes or being MRSA (Magiorakos et al., 2011).

RESULTS

Clinical specimens and staphylococci strains

In this study, a total of 35 staphylococci were isolated from 35 patient samples. Patients were 7 days to 62 years old and 17 patients were female and 18 were male. *S. aureus* strains isolated from patients samples were identified by Gram-positive cocci, catalase positivity, mannitol fermentation, and coagulase production. However, strains that were Gram-positive cocci, catalase positive, and coagulase negative were considered as CNS. This phenotypic identification was confirmed by PCR results. Among 35 bacteria tested, 28 (80%) were *S. aureus* (*16S rRNA* positive and *femA* positive) and 7 (20%) were CNS (*16S rRNA* positive and *femA* negative).

S. aureus strains were recovered from 75% of outpatients samples (12/16) and 84.2% of inpatients samples (16/19). However, CNS were isolated from 25% of outpatients samples (4/16) and 15.8% of inpatients samples (3/19). *S. aureus* was reported in all type of clinical specimens but CNS were isolated only in blood (54.5%; 6/11) and pus (5.3%; 1/19) (Table 3). CNS strains were isolated from hospitalized patients provided from maternity/neonatal and pediatrics.

Detection of PVL gene

PVL-encoding gene (*LukS*) was detected in 24 (68.6%) of the 35 strains. The PVL gene was present in all type of clinical samples (blood, pus, pleural fluid, sputum, wound, and urine). Among all *S. aureus* strains (28), 71.4% carried the *LukS* gene (20/28) (Figure 1). In addition, the *LukS* gene was present in 57.1% (4/7) of CNS. *LukS* positive CNS (*LukS*+CNS) strains were only detected from blood samples of inpatients and outpatients. In contrast, *LukS* positive *S. aureus* strains were detected from blood (4/11), pus (12/19), pleural fluid (1/1), sputum (1/2), wound (1/1) and urine (1/1) samples.

Antibiotic susceptibility of bacteria isolated

Antibiotic susceptibility tests were performed on the 35 *Staphylococcus* spp. isolated from clinical samples. The highest resistance rates were observed for penicillin (100%) andcefoxitin (100%). Resistance rates of 50 70% were recorded for the antibiotics ciprofloxacin (66%), tobramycin (66%), tetracyclin (66%), sulphamethoxazole-trimethoprim (63%), erythromycin (60%), kanamycin (57%) and gentamicin (54%). The lower resistance rate <50% were observed for rifampicin (26%), netilmicin (26%), fusidic acid (23%), norfloxacin (14%), minocyclin (11%), clindamycin (11%) and chloramphenicol (11%). All the strains were susceptible to tigecyclin tgc (100%), vancomycin (100%) and teicoplanin (100%) (Table 4). The strains resistant to cefoxitin were classified as those



Figure 1. Electrophoresis result of *FemA* and *LukS* genes. Lane M: 100 bp DNA marker ; lane 2: Positive control (*LukS*+), lanes 1, 4, 5, 6, 7: clinical strains: *S. aureus FemA*+, lanes 4,7: clinical strains: *S. aureus LukS*+

Table 4. Percentage of antibiotics resistance in clinical *Staphylococci* strains

Antibiotics	Number (%) of resistant strains		
	Total clinical strains (n=35; %)	MRSA (n=28; %)	CNS (n=7; %)
Penicillin	35 (100)	28 (100)	7 (100)
Cefoxitin	35 (100)	28 (100)	7 (100)
Ciprofloxacin	23 (66)	17(61)	6 (88)
Norfloxacin	5 (14)	3 (11)	2 (28)
Gentamicin	19 (54)	14 (50)	5 (71)
Kanamycin	20 (57)	14 (50)	6 (88)
Netilmicin	9 (26)	5 (18)	4 (57)
Tobramycin	23 (66)	21 (75)	2 (28)
Erythromycin	21 (60)	15 (54)	6 (88)
Clindamycin	4 (11)	3 (11)	1 (14)
Tetracyclin	23 (66)	18 (64)	5 (71)
Minocyclin	4 (11)	3 (11)	1 (14)
Tigecyclin	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Chloramphenicol	4 (11)	3 (11)	1 (14)
Fosfomycin	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Fusidic acid	8 (23)	5 (18)	3 (43)
Sulphamethoxazole-trimethoprim	22 (63)	17 (60)	5 (72)
Trimethoprim	29 (83)	25 (89)	4 (57)
Rifampicin	9 (26)	7 (25)	2 (28)
Vancomycin	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Teicoplanin	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

strains methicillin-resistant staphylococci. In this study, 100% (35/35) of staphyloccocci strains were methicillin-resitant of which 80% were MRSA and 20% were methicillin-resistant CNS (Table 4).

Antibiotic phenotypes of methicillin-resistant strains

In this study, staphylococci strains were subdivided into five phenotypes according to the antibiotics resistance

Table 5. Antibiotic profiles of Methicillin-resistant strains.

Antibiotic profiles	Number (%) of staphylococci strains	
	***S. aureus* (n=28; %)**	**CNS (n=7; %)**
MR + KTG S	16 (57.1)	3 (42.8)
MR + $cMLS_B$	2 (7.1)	0 (0)
MR + $iMLS_B$	1 (3.6)	0 (0)
MR + KTG R	7 (25)	2 (28.6)
MR + KTG R+ $iMLS_B$	2 (7.1)	2 (28.6)

MR: Methicillin-resistant; KTG S: Kanamycin-Tobramycin-Gentamicin Susceptible; KTG-R: Kanamycin-Tobramycin-Gentamicin Resistant; $cMLS_B$: Constitutive MLSB; $iMLS_B$: inducible MLSB.

pattern (Table 5): Phenotype 1 includes strains resistant to methicillin and susceptible to kanamycin, tobramycin, and gentamicin; Phenotype 2 includes strains resistant to methicillin with constitutive MLS_B ($cMLS_B$); Phenotype 3 includes strains resistant to methicillin with inducible MLS_B (iMLSB); Phenotype 4 includes strains resistant to methicillin, kanamycin, tobramycin, gentamicin; Phenotype 5 includes strains resistant to methicillin, kanamycin, tobramycin, gentamicin with inducible MLS_B ($iMLS_B$).

The *S. aureus* strains present five antibiotics phenotypes: 57.1% of *S. aureus* belonged to phenotype 1 followed by phenotype 4 (25%), phenotype 2 (7.1%), phenotype 5 (7.1%) and phenotype 3 (3.6%). However, CNS strains were characterised by three phenotypes (1, 4 and 5).

Antibiotics resistance pattern of positive PVL strains

Among the 24 *LukS* positive strains, 20 were MRSA with four different phenotypes of antibiotic resistance. The majority of *LukS* positive strains (70%) were phenotype 1. Two (2/20) of *LukS*+*S. aureus* strains were phenotype 2, also two strains (2/20) were phenotype 4 and two (2/20) other were phenotype 5 (Table 6). Of the four *LukS* positive CNS (LukS+CNS), phenotype 4 was observed in two (50%) strains and phenotype 5 in one (25%) strain and also one other (25%) isolate was phenotype 1. The highest prevalence of resistance of *LukS*+MRSA strains was for penicillin (100%), cefoxitin (100%) followed by tobramycin (60%), ciprofloxacin (57%), erythromycin (47%), tetracyclin (43%), sulphamethoxazole-trimethoprim (40%), gentamicin (36%) and kanamycin (37%). Lower percentage of resistance was observed for rifampicin (21%), norfloxacin (15%), chloramphénicol (10%), fusidic acid (11%), minocyclin (7%) and netilmicin (6%). All the *LukS*+MRSA strains were susceptible to tigecyclin (100%), fosfomycin (100%), vancomycin (100%) and teicoplanin (100%).

In addition, multi-drug resistant strains were classified as those resistant to three or more antibiotics classes. All *LukS*+MRSA were multi-drug resistant (100%; 20/20), of which 9 (45%) strains were resistant to 6 or more antibiotics, 2 (10%) strains were resistant to 5 antibiotics, 7 (35%) were resistant to 4 antibiotics and 2 (10%) were resistant to 3 antibiotics.

DISCUSSION

The present study reports the molecular characteristics and antibiotics resistance of staphycococci isolated from patients specimens in Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire. A high prevalence of *S. aureus* was found in clinical samples (pus, blood, pleural fluid, sputum, wound, and urine) compared to the prevalence of CNS was lower. The strains of CNS was isolated in only 7 (20%) clinical samples. These prevalences were different than those reported in Nigeria where *S. aureus* and CNS were isolated at 56 and 43.9%, respectively in clinical infections (wounds, skin and soft tissue infections, osteomyelitis, burns, genitourinary tract infection, septicaemia, urinary tract infection, otitis media, and bronchitis) (Shittu et al., 2012). With regard to the virulence factors of these strains, PVL (a leukotoxin associated with human clinical diseases) encoding gene (*LukS*) was sought. This research showed that, the high rate of *LukS* gene prevalence in 68.6% of staphylococci strains was obtained from clinical infections of inpatients and outpatients. The *LukS* gene was detected at a high rate in *S. aureus* strains (71.4%) than in CNS strains (57%). The *LukS* positive CNS strains were detected only from blood. In the hospitalised patients, *LukS*+CNS strains were found in patients of neonatale and peditrics unit and maybe due to nosocomial infections. Indeed, CNS have emerged as one of the main microorganisms causing nosocomial infections and clinically (Nanoukon et al., 2017). The prevalence of PVL gene in *S. aureus* (71.4%) in the present study was high compared with the rate (67.8%) reported in a previous study conducted in Côte d'Ivoire in 2009 in clinical infections (Kacou et al., 2011). The prevalence of *LukS* carriage in *S. aureus* in clinical sample in Abidjan inceased from 67.8 to 71.4% between 2009 and 2017. The high frequency of PVL-positive *S. aureus* in patients provide important insights

Table 6. Antibiotic resistance phenotype of PVL gene positive strains.

Antibiotic profiles	Number (%) of *LukS* positive strains	
	LukS+ S. aureus (n=20; %)	*LukS*+CNS (n=4; %)
MR + KTG S	14 (70)	1 (25)
MR + $cMLS_B$	2 (10)	0 (0)
MR + $iMLS_B$	0 (0)	0 (0)
MR + KTG R	0 (10)	0 (50)
MR + KTG R + $iMLS_B$	2 (10)	1 (25)

MR: Methicillin-resistant; KTG S: Kanamycin-Tobramycin-Gentamicin Susceptible; KTG-R: Kanamycin-Tobramycin-Gentamicin Resistant; $cMLS_B$: Constitutive MLS_B; $iMLS_B$: inducible MLS_B; *LukS*+CNS*: LukS* Positive CNS; *LukS*+*S. aureus*: *LukS* Positive *S. aureus.*

to evaluate the risk of infection and dissemination of this bacteria in Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire and in West Africa. In other sub-Saharan Africa countries, the frequency of PVL-positive *S. aureus* in clinical samples is high. In Ghana, PVL-encoding genes were detected in 75% (42/56) of *S. aureus* blood culture (Dekker et al., 2016) and in 27% (17/62) of carriage of PVL-positive *S. aureus* in burn patients (Amissah et al., 2017). This gene carriage rate was higher (90.7%; 68/75) in community-acquired-*S. aureus* isolated in Mozambique (van der Meeren et al., 2014), in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (49.1%) (Vandendriessche et al., 2017) and in Nigeria (33.3%; 17/51) in clinical infections (Shittu et al., 2012). The main findings of this study are a high prevalence of MRSA and PVL-positive gene strains. PVL is mostly associated with community-associated methicillin resistance in *S. aureus* infections. According the antibiotics resistance profile, 100% of staphylococci strains were methicillin-resistant, of which 80% were methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) and 20% were methicillin-resistant CNS. MRSA prevalence rate in the present study is very higher than MRSA prevalence rate (11.8%) obtained by Kacou et al. (2011) in 2009 in Abidjan. In addition, PVL gene (*LukS*) was detected only among methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus* (MSSA) strains. In contrast, in this present study, the PVL gene was commonly detected among MRSA as well as in methicillin-resistant CNS. The increase of prevalence of PVL-positive MRSA in Abidjan could present a significant challenge in disease management and infection control. The rate of PVL positive MRSA strains in Abidjan is higher than those reported from Ghana (63.8%) (Kpeli et al., 2016), Ouganda in pastoral communities (69.4%) (Asiimwe et al., 2017), Zambie (9.4%) (Samutela et al., 2017) and Nigeria (6.6%) (Shittu et al., 2012). However, the rate of PVL+MRSA was high than the rate (56.8%) reported by Bhatta et al. (2016) in MRSA isolated from clinical specimens in Nepal. The staphylococci isolated from patients in this study exhibit resistance to many antibiotics (Table 4). These results are in agreements with those reported by Chen et al. (2017) in China which the resistance rates of *S. aureus* to penicillin and cefoxitin were all 100%. However, all strains were susceptible (100%) to tigecyclin, vancomycin and teicoplanin. The MRSA strains collected from 13 different hospitals in Kuwait, were susceptible to vancomycin (100%), teicoplanin (100%) and linezolid (100%). Tigecyclin was not tested by the authors (Boswihi et al., 2018). The occurrence of MRSA is on the rise since the previous study (Kacou et al., 2011) in Abidjan. The threat posed by MRSA was vividly demonstrated in this study, as 100% of *LukS*+MRSA were multi-drug resistant and 45% of strains were resistant to 6 or more antibiotics tested. This might reflect the frequent and repeated administration of antibiotics, thus selecting for resistance and resulting in high frequencies of MDR. The MDR in staphylococci strains could lead to failure in treatment therapy, prolonged illnesses, increased expenses for health care, and in serious cases, risk of death (Tanwar et al., 2014). The prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in Abidjan clinical staphylococci strains was higher than those observed in other African countries. Indeed, the prevalence of antimicrobial resistance was below 5% for all antibiotics agents tested except for penicillin (97%), tetracycline (42%) and erythromycin (6%) in MRSA strains in Ghana (Egyir et al., 2014). Comparatively, the prevalence of MDR (9%) was lower than those reported in the present study. Bhatta et al. (2016) have reported overall 73% of MRSA from clinical specimens were multidrug reistant with 50% were PVL positive which indicates a lower prevalence than the present findings.

The high antimicrobial resistance in Abidjan is due to the overconsumption of antibiotics in the populations. Indeed, there is a huge prescription of antibiotics and because of poverty people turn to self-medication by sourcing from street drug sellers. It is important to note that there is a large informal market in Abidjan for medicines and antibiotics that are visible to everyone.

Indeed, the prevalence of antimicrobial resistance was below 5% for all antibiotics agents tested except for penicillin (97%), tetracycline (42%) and erythromycin (6%) in MRSA strains in Ghana (Egyir et al., 2014). Comparatively, the prevalence of MDR (9%) was lower than those reported in the present study. Bhatta et al. (2016)

have reported that overall 73% of MRSA from clinical specimens were multidrug reistant with 50% which were PVL positive indicating a lower prevalence than the present findings. Otherwise, according to resitance of antibiotics, clinical strains were grouped into 5 antibiotic phenotypes (Table 5). The frequency of $cMLS_B$ and $iMLS_B$ of the present study was lower than those found in previous studies. Two other previous Brazil studies (Bottega et al., 2014; Pereira et al., 2016) have documented the rate of $cMLS_B$ and $iMLS_B$ in clinical MRSA strains ranging from 14.3 to 37.9% and 2.1 to 4.9%, respectively; while the KTG-R phenotype was not detected. In India, the MLS_B phenotypes of MRSA in clinical samples were higher than those reported in this study. The $iMLS_B$ phenotype rate ranged from 19.1 to 35.2% and the $cMLS_B$ phenotype rate ranged from 11.4 to 31.9% in MRSA strains (Bhattacharya et al., 2015; Kumari et al., 2016). The antibiotic profile of CNS was not consitent with the results of Abdollahi et al. (2016) where 58.2% of 110 strains were methicillin resistant CNS to which 54.6% were $cMLS_B$ and 6.25% were $iMLS_B$ phenotype. It was important to know the type of MLS_B resistance for establishing adequate therapy. The vancomycin is used for the treatment of serious infections and MLS_B group are usually used to treat less severe skin and soft tissue infections (Liu et al., 2011). Reporting the susceptibility to clindamycin without verifying the presence of inducible resistance, may lead to inadequate therapy with this drug. In contrast, a negative result for inducible resistance to clindamycin, confirms the susceptibility of this antimicrobial, providing a very good therapeutic option (Pereira et al., 2016). Clindamycin is the preferred agent for the treatment of MRSA due to its excellent pharmacokinetic properties, such as optimal tissue penetration and accumulation in abscesses. However, the indiscriminate use of MLS_B antibiotics has led to an increase in the number of *Staphylococcus* spp. strains that are resistant to these drugs (Bottega et al., 2014).

Conclusion

The present analysis of *S. aureus* strains isolated from clinical specimens in Abidjan, showed a high level of multidrug resistance staphylococci strains both among inpatients than outpatients. These strains harboring a high rate of PVL gene with inducible resistance to MLS_B antibiotics. Therefore, frequent monitoring of this pathogen, its antibiotic susceptibility and determining their virulence factors is of great importance in control and treatment of infections. This study provides an important data to increase the country-wide monitoring of methicillin resistant staphylococci.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to staff of Department of Bacteriology, Plateform of Molecular Biology and National Reference Center for Antibiotics of Pasteur Institute of Côte d'Ivoire for their cooperation while carrying out this work.

REFERENCES

Abdollahi S, Ramazanzadeh R, Khiabani ZD, Kalantar E (2016). Epidemiological and Inducible Resistance in Coagulase Negative Staphylococci. Global Journal of Health Science 8(4):109.

Abdulgader SM, Shittu AO, Nicol MP, Kaba M (2015). Molecular epidemiology of Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Africa: a systematic review. Frontiers in Microbiology 6:348.

Al-Talib H, Yean CY, Al-Khateeb A, Hassan H, Singh K K, Al-Jashamy K, Ravichandran M (2009). A pentaplex PCR assay for the rapid detection of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and Panton-Valentine Leucocidin. BMC Microbiology 9:113.

Amini R, Abdulamir AS, Ling BP, Jahanshiri F, Hematian A, Zargar M, Sekawi Z, Jalilian FA (2012). Isolation and identification of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from keys of college students using different detection methods. British Biotechnology Journal 2(1):13-25

Amissah NA, van Dam L, Ablordey A, Ampomah O-W, Prah I, Tetteh CS, van der Werf TS, Friedrich AW, Rossen JW, van Dijl JM, Stienstra Y (2017). Epidemiology of *Staphylococcus aureus* in a burn unit of a tertiary care center in Ghana. PLoS ONE 12(7):e0181072.

Asiimwe BB, Baldan R, Trovato A, Cirillo DM (2017). Molecular epidemiology of Panton-Valentine Leukocidin-positive community-acquired methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates in pastoral communities of rural south western Uganda. BMC Infectious Diseases 17:24.

Bhattacharya S, Bir R, Majumdar T (2015). Evaluation of Multidrug Resistant Staphylococcus aureus and their Association with Biofilm Production in a Tertiary Care Hospital, Tripura, Northeast India. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research 9(9):DC01-DC04

Bhatta DR, Cavaco LM, Nath G, Kumar K, Gaur A, Gokhale S, Bhatta DR (2016). Association of Panton Valentine Leukocidin (PVL) genes with methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in Western Nepal: a matter of concern for community infections (a hospital based prospective study). BMC Infectious Diseases 16:199.

Boswihi SS, Udo EE, Monecke S, Mathew B, Noronha B, Verghese T, Tappa SB (2018). Emerging variants of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus genotypes in Kuwait hospitals. PLoS One 13:e0195933.

Bottega A, Rodrigues MA, Carvalho FA, Wagner TF, Leal IAS, dos Santos SO, Rampelotto RF, Hörner R (2014). Evaluation of constitutive and inducible resistance to clindamycin in clinical samples of *Staphylococcus aureus* from a tertiary hospital. Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical 47(5):589-592.

Chen X, Wu Z, Zhou Y, Zhu J, Li K, Shao H, Wei L (2017). Molecular and virulence characteristics of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in burn patients. Frontiers Laboratory Medecine 1:43-47.

Committee of antibiogram of French Society of Microbiology (CA-SFM) /European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST). Recommandations.Version 1.0 February 2016.

Dekker D, Wolters M, Mertens E, Boahen K, Krumkamp R, Eibach D, Schwarz NG, Adu-Sarkodie Y, Rohde H, Christner M, Marks F, Sarpong N, May J (2016). Antibiotic resistance and clonal diversity of invasive *Staphylococcus aureus* in the rural Ashanti Region, Ghana. BMC Infectious Diseases 16:720-726.

Dong J, Quiu J, Li H, Dai X, Zhang Y, Tan W, Niu X, Deng X, Zhao S (2013). Apigenin alleviates the symptoms of *Staphylococcus aureus* pneumonia by inhibiting the production of alpha-hemolysin. FEMS Microbiology Letters 338:124-131.

Egyir B, Guardabassi L, Sørum M, Nielsen SS, Kolekang A, Frimpong E, Addo KK, Newman MJ, Larsen AR (2014). Molecular epidemiology and antimicrobial susceptibility of clinical *Staphylococcus*

aureus from Healthcare Institutions in Ghana. PLoS ONE 9:e89716
Kacou NA, Koffi KS, Ekaza E, Kouamé- Elogne C, Anne BJC, Dosso M (2011). *Staphylococcus aureus* infection and virulence genes in Abidjan (Côte d'Ivoire). European Journal of scientific Research 52:339-344.
Kaspar U, Kriegeskorte A, Schubert T, Peters G, Rudack C, Pieper DH, Wos-Oxley M, Becker K (2016). The culturome of the human nose habitats reveals individual bacterial Fingerprint patterns. Environmental Microbiology 18:2130-2142.
Kateete DP, Kimani CN, Katabazi FA, Okeng A, Okee MS, Nanteza A, Joloba ML, Najjuka FC (2010). Identification of *Staphylococcus aureus*: Dnase and mannitol salt agar improve the efficiency of the tube coagulase test. Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials 9:23-29.
Kpeli G, Otchere I D, Lamelas A, Buultjens A L, Bulach D, Baines SL, Seemann T, Giulieri S, Nakobu Z, Aboagye SY, Owusu-Mireku E, Pluschke G, Stinear TP, Yeboah-Manu D (2016). Possible healthcare-associated transmission as a cause of secondary infection and population structure of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from two wound treatment centres in Ghana. New Microbes and New Infections 13:92-101.
Kumari J, Shenoy SM, Baliga S, Chakrapani M, Bhat GK (2016). Healthcare-Associated Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Clinical characteristics and antibiotic resistance profile with emphasis on macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin B resistance. 2016. Sultan Qaboos University Medecine Journal 16:175-181.
Lai PS, Bebell LM, Meney C, Valeri L, White MC (2018). Epidemiology of antibiotic-resistant wound infections from six countries in Africa. BMJ Global Health 2:e000475.
Lenart-Boron A, Wolny-Koladka K, Stec J, Kasprowic A (2016). Phenotypic and molecular antibiotic resistance determination of airborne coagulase negative *Staphylococcus spp*. strains from healthcare facilities in Southern Poland. Microbial Drug Resistance 22:515-522.
Lesens O, Haus-Cheymol R, Dubrous P, Verret C, Spiegel A, Bonnet R, Bes M, Laurichesse H, Beytout J, Etienne J, Migliani R, Koeck JL, the Working Group on Cutaneous Infections in the Army (2007). Methicillin susceptible, Doxycycline resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, Côte d'Ivoire. Emerging Infectious Diseases 13:488-490.
Liu C, Bayer A, Cosgrove SE, Daum RS, Fridkin SK, Gorwitz RJ, Kaplan SL, Karchmer AW, Levine DP, Murray BE, Rybak M J, Talan DA, Chambers HF (2011). Clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America for the treatment of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in adults and children. Clinical Infectious Diseases 52:285-292.
Magiorakos A, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG, Harbarth S, Hindler JF, Kahlmeter G, Olsson-Liljequist B, Paterson DL, Rice LB, Stelling J, Struelens MJ, Vatopoulos A, Weber JT, Monnet DL (2011). Multidrug resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clinical Infectious Diseases 18:268-281.
Maheshwari V, Kaore NCM, Ramani VK, Gupta SK, Borle A, Kaushal R (2014). A study to assess knowledge and attitude regarding hand hygiene amongst residents and nursing staff in a teritiary care setting of hospital city. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research 8:DC04-07.
Messina JA, Thaden JT, Sharma-Kuinkel BK, Fowler Jr VG (2016). Impact of bacterial and human genetic variation on *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. PLoS Pathogens 12:e1005330.
Nanoukon C, Argemi X, Sogbo F, Orekan J, Keller D, Affolabi D, Schramm F, Riegel P, Baba-Moussa L, Pre´vost G (2017). Pathogenic features of clinically significant coagulase-negative Staphylococci in hospital and community infections in Benin. International Journal of Medical Microbiology 307:75-82.
Oliveira CF, Paim TGS, Reiter KC, Rieger A, D'azevedo PA (2014). Evaluation of four different DNA extraction methods in coagulase-negative staphylococci clinical isolates. Revista do Instituto de Medicina Tropical de São Paulo 56(1):29-33.
Ouedraogo A-S, Dunyach-Remy C, Kissou A, Sanou S, Poda A, Kyelem CG, Solassol J, A-L Bañuls, Van De Perre P, Ouédraogo R, Jean-Pierre H, Lavigne J-P, Godreuil S (2016). High Nasal Carriage Rate of *Staphylococcus aureus* Containing Panton-Valentine leukocidin- and EDIN-Encoding Genes in Community and Hospital Settings in Burkina Faso. Frontiers in Microbiology 7:1406.
Pedroso S HSP, Sandes SHC, Filho RAT, Nunes A C, Serufo JC, Farias LM, Carvalho MAR, Bomfim MRQ, Santos SG (2018). Coagulase-Negative *Staphylococci* Isolated from Human Bloodstream Infections Showed Multidrug Resistance Profile. Microbial Drug Resistance 24:635-647.
Pereira JN, Rabelo MA, Lima JL, Neto AM, Lopes AC, Maciel MA (2016). Phenotypic and molecular characterization of resistance to macrolides, lincosamides and type B streptogramin of clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus* spp. of a university hospital in Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil. The Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases 20:276-281.
Saba CKS, Amenyona JK, Kpordze SW (2017). Prevalence and pattern of antibiotic resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from door handles and other points of contact in public hospitals in Ghana. Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control 6:44.
Samutela MT, Kalonda A, Mwansa J, Lukwesa-Musyani C, Mwaba J, Mumbula EM, Mwenya D, Simulundu E, Kwenda G (2017). Molecular characterisation of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) isolated at a large referral hospital in Zambia. PanAfrican Medical Journal 26:108.
Shallcross LJ, Fragasz E, Johnson AM, Hayward AC (2013). The role of the Panton-Valentine leucocidin toxin in staphylococcal disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Infectious Diseases 13:43-54.
Shittu A, Oyedara O, Abegunrin F, Okon K, Raji A, Taiwo S, Ogunsola F, Onyedibe K, Elisha G (2012). Characterization of methicillin-susceptible and resistant Staphylococci in the clinical setting: a multicentre study in Nigeria. BMC Infectious Diseases 12:286.
Shopsin B, Kaveri SV, Bayry J (2016). Tackling difficult *Staphylococcus aureus* infections: antibodies show the way. Cell Host Microbe 20: 555-557.
Skov R, Christiansen K, Dancer SJ, Daum RS, Dryden M, Huang YC, Lowy FD (2012). Update on the prevention and control of community acquired meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (CA-MRSA). International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 39:193-200.
Tanwar J, Das S, Fatima Z, Hameed S (2014). Multidrug resistance: An emerging crisis. Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Infectious Diseases 2014:1-7.
Tong SYC, Davis JS, Eichenberger E, Holland TL, Fowler Jr VG (2015). *Staphylococcus aureus* infections: epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, and management. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 28:603-661.
van der Meeren BT, Millard PS, Scacchetti M, Hermans MH, Hilbink M, Concelho TB, Ferro JF, Wever PC (2014). Emergence of methicillin resistance and Panton-Valentine leukocidin positivity in hospital- and community-acquired *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in Beira, Mozambique. Tropical Medicine and International Health 19:169-176.
Vandendriessche S, De Boeck H, Deplano A, Phoba M, Lunguya O, Falay D, Dauly N, Verhaegen J, Denis O, Jacobs J (2017). Characterisation of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from bloodstream infections, Democratic Republic of the Congo. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases 36:1163-1171.
Willyard C (2017). The drug-resistant bacteria that pose the greatest health threats. Nature 543:15.
World Health Organization (WHO) (2014). Antimicrobial resistance: global report on surveillance. Geneva, Switzerland.
Yanagihara K, Kihara R, Araki N, Morinaga Y, Seki M, Izumikawa K, Kakeya H, Yamamoto Y, Yamada Y, Kohno S, Tsukamoto K, Kamihira S (2009). Efficacy of linezolid against Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL)-positive meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in a mouse model of haematogenous pulmonary infection. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 34:477-481.
Zinzendorf NY, Krizo A, Baba-Moussa L, Edoh V, Loukou YG (2012). Molecular Characteristics of *Staphylococcus aureus* from Military Hospital in Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire. Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences 1:54-58.

Vol. 12(41), pp. 947-952, 7 November, 2018
DOI: 10.5897/AJMR2018.8985
Article Number: 289F1F359188
ISSN: 1996-0808

http://www.academicjournals.org/AJMR

African Journal of Microbiology Research

Full Length Research Paper

Phenotypic and molecular characterization of inducible clindamycin resistance among *Staphylococcal* strains isolated from cancer patients with febrile neutropenia

Rasha A. Alm El-Din[1*], Hanan El-Bassat[2], Mohamed El-Bedewy[3] and Mohamed A. Alm El-Din[4]

[1]Department Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University, Egypt.
[2]Department of Tropical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt.
[3]Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt.
[4]Department of Clinical Oncology, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt.

Received 14 September, 2018; Accepted 4 November, 2018

This work aimed at the phenotypic and molecular characterization of inducible clindamycin resistance among strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from cancer patients with febrile neutropenia. Out of 231clinical specimens *Staphylococci* were isolated from 179 (77.48%) cases. Isolates were identified by conventional microbiological methods. Antimicrobial sensitivity to all isolates was done using disc diffusion methods. For strains that were erythromycin resistant, D-test was performed to screen the presence of inducible clindamycin resistance. Multiplex polymerase chain reaction (multiplex-PCR) was done for strains with constitutive or inducible resistance to detect the distribution of *erm (e)*, and *erm (C)* genes. Out of 231 clinical specimens, staphylococci were isolated from 179 (77.48%). Staphylococcal isolates were tested for susceptibility to erythromycin; 100 (55.8%) of them were erythromycin resistant. of these 100, erythromycin resistant isolates (8, 4.46%) were resistant to both erythromycin and clindamycin indicating constitutive MLS_B Phenotype; 92 isolates were erythromycin resistant, and clindamycin sensitive. Out of these, 45 (25.1%) isolates showed positive D test indicating inducible MLS_B phenotype while 47(26.2%) gave negative D test indicating MS phenotype. Molecular study revealed that 8 strains (100%) of staphylococci with constitutive MLS_B phenotype and 23 strains (51.1%) of staphylococci with inducible MLS_B phenotype had both *erm(e)* and *erm(c)* gene, erm (e) gene was present in 33.3% and *erm (c)* gene present in 15.6% of staphylococcus isolates with inducible MLS_B phenotype. Inducible resistance and MS phenotype were found to be higher in MRSA as compared to MSSA (27.6, 24.3 and 1.6 and 4% respectively). Rapid dissemination of inducible clindamycin-resistant *S. aureus* isolates is worrisome and calls for judicious use of antibiotics. Therefore, the D-test should be added as a routine procedure on each staphylococcal isolates to detect inducible clindamycin resistance to avoid failure of antibiotic therapy.

Key words: Clindamycin, *Staphylococcus aureus*, antimicrobial susceptibility.

INTRODUCTION

Febrile neutropenia is defined as fever with other signs of infection, in a patient with neutropenia, which is considered with abnormal low concentration of neutrophils granulocytes (<500 cells/mm^3), the most

abundant circulating white blood cells that is considered the first line of the organism defence against infections (Viscoli et al., 2005). Percentage of patients with malignancy experience a decrease in the cells and other elements of the immune systems that make them more liable to different types of infections (Lustberg, 2012). Neutropenia could be considered as an oncology emergency and can lead to serious adverse consequences such as serious infection complications and death (Villafuerte et al., 2014). Bacteria, including Gram-positive and Gram-negative species, viruses, and fungi; all of these may be a causative agent of febrile neutropenia. The incidence and epidemiology of febrile neutroprnia depends on different factors including type of cancer, the age, and sex of the patient, the type and cycle of treatment (Kristjanson, 2015).

Staphylococcus aureus (*S. aureus*) is considered as one of the most common organisms causing infections in patients with malignancy with increasing the risk of resistance to wide range of antibacterial drugs. Macrolide-Lincosamide-Streptogramin B (MLS_B) is a group of antibiotics that are nowadays used in treatment of *S. aureus* infections. Clindamycin is the most preferred antimicrobial drug in this group due to its proper pharmacokinetic properties that led to increase development of clindamycin resistance among strains of *S. aureus* (Yilmaz et al., 2007).

Clindamycin resistance in *Staphylococcus* species can be either constitutive or inducible (Deotale et al., 2010). It is difficult to detect strains of *S. aureus* that have inducible clindamycin resistance by routine laboratory methods as they appears as erythromycin-resistant and clindamycin sensitive *in routine laboratory in vitro* disc diffusion tests when the two discs of erythromycin and clindamycin not placed adjacent to each other (Lim et al., 2006*).* When these cases are treated with clindamycin; the target will be constitutive *erm* genes that lead to failure of treatment (Drinkovic et al., 2001). Strains of *S. aureus* that have msrA genes (efflux genes) have with another mechanism of resistance that presented clinically as erythromycin-resistant and clindamycin-sensitive both *in vivo* and *in vitro*, with no resistance to clindamycin resistance during therapy (Laclercq, 2002).

The aim of this study was to detect the incidence of *staphylococcus aureus* causing infection among cancer patients with febrile neutropenia with phenotypic and molecular characterization of inducible and constitutive clindamycin resistance among these strains.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A prospective study was carried out in the Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University over a period of 6 months from September 2017 to March 2018 on 273 cancer patients with febrile neutropenia admitted to Oncology Department in Tanta University Hospital with suspected clinical sepsis after the approval of ethical committee in Tanta Faculty of Medicine, and a written consent from the participated patients. Clinical sepsis was defined as per the criteria established by American College of Physicians and Society of Critical Care Medicine (ACOM, SCCM) which included temperature >38°C, heart rate >90/min and respiratory rate >20/min. Neutropenia was defined as an absolute neutrophilic count (ANC) of 500 mm^3 or less or a count that is expected to fall to that level in the next 1-2 days (Steven and John, 2008).

Out of 273 samples 100 staphylococci strains could be isolated that were eligible for the study.

Different patient samples (pus, throat swabs, blood, urine, sputum) were collected from the patients under complete asepsis and transferred immediately to microbiology laboratory. Samples were cultured on blood agar and mannitol salt agar. *Staphylococci* were identified using conventional microbiological methods and biochemical reactions; coagulase test and catalase test according to the standards of Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2007).

Antibiotic susceptibility testing

Antibiotic susceptibility testing was performed using the disk diffusion method. The antibiotics chosen were Erythromycin, Clindamycin, vancomycin, gentamicin, oxacillin, cefotaxime, ciprofloxacin, cefepime and meropenem, Interpretation of the results acoording to the standards of Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2007).

Phenotypic identification of clindamycin resistance (D-test)

All erythromycin-resistant isolates were further examined by double-disc test with erythromycin (15 µg) and clindamycin (2 µg) discs and the results were interpreted according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2007) guidelines, to determine the resistance phenotype. According to the results of D-test; 3 phenotypes could be identified MS_B phenotype, showed circular zone around clindamycin disc, $iMLS_B$ (indicible resistance) phenotype, demonstrated a flat zone around clindamycin disc, and cMLS phenotype were resistant to both discs of erythromycin and clindamycin (constitutive resistance).

Multiplex PCR for Genotypic identification of staphylococcal strains with clindamycin resistance

DNA extraction

QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen) was used for isolation of genomic presence of erythromycin resistance methylase *erm* genes *erm* (e), and *erm* (C) PCR using the primer pairs as described by Lim et al. (2006).

Multiplex PCR for erm (a) and erm (c) was performed in final volume of 20 µl by DFS Master Mix Kit (Cinagen) including Taq polymerase enzyme, $MgCl_2$, dNTP, $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, TrisHCl, Tween – 20. Reaction mixtures consisted of 12.5 µl Master Mix, 1 µl $MgCl_2$,

*Corresponding author. E-mail: almrasha@yahoo.com.

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics studied cases.

Parameter		Number	%
Sex	Male	95	53.07
	Female	84	46.9
Sample	Pus	33	18.4
	Urine	33	18.4
	Blood	31	17.3
	Sputum	47	26.2
	Throat swab	35	19.4
Type of cancer	Colorectal	26	(3.3)
	Lumphoma	30	(11.1)
	Breast	29	(10.6)
	Lung	35	(19.5)
	HCC	21	(11.7)
	Prostate	19	(10.6)
	MUO	19	(10.6)

Patients (n = 179).

0.25 µl of each primer, 2 µl distilled water and 1 µl DNA template. Cycling conditions were primary denaturation at 94°C for 4 min, denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 52ºC for 30 s, extension at 72ºC for 1 min, then 30 cycle, followed by a final extension at 72ºC for 5 min. Primers used for erm (a) gene were: 5' TCA GGA AAA GGA CAT TTT ACC3', : 3'ATA TAGTGG TGG TAC TTT TTT GAG C5'detedted at 118 bp, erm (c) gene 5'TAGCAAACCCGTATTCCACG3',3'CTTGTTGATCACGATAATTT CC5'detected at 495bp, (Matthew et al., 2006).

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the demographic and clinical characteristics studied cases where among 179 cases from which *S. aureus* were isolated, 95 cases were male patients and 84 were female patients, with their age from 18 to 72 (33±24.66), samples were collected from different sites according to the site of infection; pus 33 (18.4%), urine 33 (18.4%), blood 31 (17.3%), sputum 47 (26.2), throat swab 35 (19.4%). Colorectal cancer represent 3.3%, lymphoma 11%, Breast 10.6%, lung 19.5%, Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) 11.7%, Prostate 10.6% and Metastasis of unknown origin (MUO) 10.6%.

Table 2 shows pattern of clindamycin resistance among studied cases where among 179 *S. aureus* that were eligible for the study, 79 (44.1%) strains were erythromycin sensitive, and 100 (55.8%) of them were erythromycin resistant. Of these 100 erythromycin resistant strains, 8 (4.46%) isolates were resistant to both erythromycin and clindamycin indicating constitutive MLS_B phenotype; 92 isolates were erythromycin resistant, and clindamycin sensitive. Out of these, 45 (25.1%) isolates showed positive D test indicating inducible clindamycin resistance (MLS_B phenotype) while 47(26.2%) gave negative D test indicating MS phenotype.

Table 2. Pattern of clindamycin resistance among studied cases.

Pattern of clindamycin resistance	Number	%
Erythromycin Sensitive	79	44.1
CR (E resistant, CL resistant)	8	4.46
IR (E resistant, CL sensitive)+ve D test	45	45 (25.1)
MS (E resistant , Cl sensitive) -ve D test	47	47 (26.2)

Table 3 shows relation between pattern of clindamycin resistance and type of staphylococci as regard sensitivity to methicillin where constitutive MLS_B Phenotype was found in higher percentage in MRSA [2 strains (25%)] of MSSA and 6 strains (70%) of MRSA; inducible MLS_B phenotype found also in higher percentage in MRSA (10 strains (22.2%) of MSSA and 35 strains of MRSA (77.8%). As regard MS, phenotype was equally distributed in MSSA and MRSA [22 strains (46.8%) and 25 strains (53.2)] respectively.

Table 4 shows the distribution of *erm (e)* and *erm (c)* genes among different phenotypes of clindamycin resistance where it was found that 100% of the strains with constitutive resistance phenotype contain both *erm(e)* and *erm(c)* gene, and as regard strains with inducible resistance phenotype, 15 strains (33%) contain *erm(e)*gene, 7 strains (15.8%) contain *erm(c)* gene, 23 strains (51%) contain both *erm (c)* and *erm(e)* genes

Table 5 shows the antimicrobial sensitivity and resistance pattern of the *S. aureus* isolates with constitutive resistance phenotype where highest sensitivity was for vanomycin (98.7%), oxacillin (97.4%), cefpime and ciprofloxacillin (83.5%) each, and all of the erythromycin sensitive strains were clindamycin sensitive.

Tables 6 and 7 shows the antimicrobial sensitivity and resistance pattern of *staphylococcal* strains with inducible resistance phenotype where 75% of the strains were resistant to meropenem, gentamycin, and ciprofloxacin, 62% of the strains were resistant to cefotaxim , 50% were resistant to cefepime, and 100% of the strains were vancomycin sensitive. Agarose gel electrophoresis showing positive amplification of 118 and 495 base fragments is shown in Figure 1.

DISCUSSION

Cancer patients receiving cytotoxic antineoplastic therapy are at risk for invasive infection due to colonizing bacteria or fungi that translocate across intestinal mucosal surfaces. Since the magnitude of the neutrophil-mediated component of the inflammatory response may be muted in neutropenic patients. The first-line empirical treatment should cover the prevalent microorganism of the institute.

This study performed on 231 cases of febrile neutropenia from which *Staphylococcus aureus* could be

Table 3. Relation between pattern of clindamycin resistance and type of staphylococci as regard sensitivity to methicillin.

Type of staph			Pattern of clindamycin resistance			Total
			CR	IR	MS	
MSSA		N	2	10	22	34
		%	25.0%	22.2%	46.8%	34.0%
MRSA		N	6	35	25	66
		%	75.0%	77.8%	53.2%	66.0%
Total		N	8	45	47	100
		%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Chi-square	X^2	6.507				
	P-value	0.039*				

Table 4. Distribution of *erm (e)* and *erm (c)* genes among different phenotypes of clindamycin resistance.

Gene			Pattern of clindamycin resistance			Total
			Constitutive MLS_B	Inducible MLS_B	MS	
erm (e)		N	0	15	0	15
		%	0%	33.3%	0%	15.0%
erm (c)		N	0	7	0	7
		%	0%	15.6%	0%	7.0%
erm (e)+erm (c)		N	8	23	0	31
		%	100.0%	51.1%	0%	31.0%
Total		N	8	45	47	100
		%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Chi-square	X^2	112.616				
	P-value	0.001*				

Table 5. The antimicrobial sensitivity and resistance pattern of the *S. aureus* isolates with constitutive resistance phenotype.

Antibiotics	S		R	
	N	%	N	%
Erythromycin	0	00.00	00.00	00.00
Clindamycin	8	8	8	8
Gentamicin	7	7	1	1
Cefotaxime	2	2	6	6
Oxacillin	1	1	6	6
Vancomycin	7	7	1	1
Cefepime	5	5	3	3
Ciprofloxacin	4	4	4	4

S=sensitive; R=resistant.

Table 6. Antimicrobial sensitivity and resistance pattern of the *S. aureus* isolates with inducible resistance phenotype.

Antibiotics	S		R	
	N	%	N	%
Erythromycin	00.00	00.00	45	45
Cefotaxime	23	23	22	2
Oxacillin	10	10	40	40
Vancomycin	33	33	12	12
Cefepime	31	31	14	14
Ciprofloxacin	22	22	23	3

isolated from 179 (77.4%). On reverse to this work, a study performed by Taj et al. (2015) found that Gram negative infections accounted for 68 (85%) and *Escherichia coli* was the commonest isolate. Gram positive microorganisms were isolated in 12 (15%) cases and most common was *S. aureus*. This study reported only one *staphylococcus* isolate (1%) with constitutive clindamycin resistance; resistant to vancomycin and 12 strains with inducible clindamycin resistance (12%) were

Table 7. Antimicrobial sensitivity and resistance of the *S. aureus* isolates with MS phenotype.

Antibiotics	**S**		**R**	
	N	**%**	**N**	**%**
Erythromycin	00.00	0.00	47	47
Cefotaxime	26	26	21	21
Oxacillin	13	13	34	34
Vancomycin	35	35	12	12
Cefepime	23	23	24	24
Ciprofloxacin	40	40	7	7



Figure 1. Agarose gel electrophoresis showing positive amplification of 118 and 495 base fragments specific for *erm (e)* and *erm (c),* respectively. Lane 1, 100-1500 bp ladder; lane 2, negative control; lane 3, control *erm (e)* (382 bp); lane 4, control e*rm (c)* (188 bp); lanes 5-8, test strains.

resistant to vancomycin. Taj et al. (2015) also reported that the commonest Gram positive isolate is *S. aureus* and 4 cases of MRSA were isolated: all were sensitive to vancomycin; however, 2 cases of vancomycin resistant *enterococci* (VRE) were documented and were treated successfully with linezolid. In this study, sputum samples represents 26%, pus and urine 18.4 and 18.4% respectively, throat swab 19.4%, blood 17.3% of the clinical samples of cancer patients febrile neutropenia. Anderson et al. (2006) and Nasehi et al. (2010) reported that the most common infections that complicate cancer patients with febrile neutropenia include urinary tract infections, soft tissue infection, pneumonia, and septicaemia.

In this study, out of 179 *S .aureus* that were eligible for the study, 79 (44.1%) strains were erythromycin sensitive, and 100 (55.8%) of them were erythromycin resistant. Of these 100 erythromycin resistant strains, 8 (4.46%) isolates were resistant to both erythromycin and clindamycin indicating constitutive MLS_B Phenotype; 92 isolates were erythromycin resistant, and clindamycin sensitive. Out of these, 45 (25.1%) isolates showed positive D test indicating inducible MLS_B phenotype while 47 (26.2%) gave negative D test indicating MS phenotype.

The study of Deotale et al. (2010) showed high percentage of erythromycin resistant isolates [80 (32.4%)]. Amongst them 36 (45%) isolates tested positive for inducible clindamycin resistance by D test while the rest of the isolates were negative for D test, out of which 9 (11.25%) were shown to have constitutive clindamycin

resistance and 35 (43.75%) showed true sensitivity to clindamycin (MS phenotype).They reported that these results indicate that D test must be done as a routine laboratory procedure otherwise most of the erythromycin resistant isolates will be misidentified as clindamycin sensitive resulting in failure of treatment. As regard the relation of pattern of clindamycin resistance to the type of sensitivity of S .*aureus* to mecithelline, the results of this study showed that constitutive MLS_B Phenotype was found in higher percentage in MRSA [2 strains (25%) of MSSA and 6 strains (70%) of MRSA), inducible MLS_B phenotype were found also in higher percentage in MRSA [10 strains (22.2%) of MSSA and 35 strains of MRSA (77.8%)]. As regard MS, phenotype was equally distributed in MSSA and MRSA [22 strains (46.8%) and 25 strains (53.2)] respectively. These results are in accordance with the results of Kavitha et al. (2011) who observed that percentages of inducible resistance and constitutive clindamycin resistance were higher amongst MRSA as compared to MSSA (20, 16.66, 6.15, and 6.15%, respectively).

As regard the antimicrobial sensitivity and resistance pattern of the *S. aureus,* isolates with constitutive resistance phenotype in this study showed that highest sensitivity was to vanomycin (98.7%), oxacillin (97.4%), cefpime and ciprofloxacillin (83.5% each), and all of the erythromycin sensitive strains were clindamycin sensitive.

Moreover and as regard the antimicrobial sensitivity and resistance pattern of *staphylococcal* strains with inducible resistance phenotype, the results of this study showed that 75% of the strains were resistant to meropenem, gentamycin, and ciprofloxacin, 62% of the strains were resistant to cefotaxim, 50% were resistant to cefepime, and 100% of the strains were vancomycin sensitive.

Conclusion

Rapid dissemination of inducible clindamycin-resistant among isolates of *S. aureus* is worrisome and calls for judicious use of antibiotics. Therefore, the D-test should be added as a routine procedure of antimicrobial susceptibility tests on *S. aureus* isolates to detect inducible clindamycin resistance to avoid failure of antibiotic therapy with improvement of the mortality rate among cancer patients with febrile neutropenia.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The teamwork of this research greatly acknowledge departments of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Tropical Medicine, Internal Medicine, Clinical Oncology for their great help and support

REFERENCES

Anderson DJ, Engemann JJ, Harrell LJ, Carmeli Y, Reller LB, Kaye KS (2006). Predictors of Mortality in Patients eith Bloodstream Infections Due to ceftazidime-Resistant Klebsiella Pneumoniae. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 50:1715-1720.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (2007). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; seventeenth informational supplement. CLSI document M100-S17. Wayne, PA, USA.

Deotale V, Mendiratta DK, Raut U, Narang P (2010). Inducible clindamycin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from clinical samples. Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology 28:124-126.

Drinkovic D, Fuller ER, Shore KP, Holland DJ, Ellis-Pegler R (2001). Clindamycin treatment of *Staphylococcus aureus* expressing inducible clindamycin resistance. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 48:315-316.

Kavitha P, Sunil RA, Venkatakrishna R (2011). Inducible Clindamycin Resistance in Staphylococcus aureus Isolated from Clinical Samples. Journal of Laboratory Physicians 3(1):25-27.

Kristjanson M (2015). Febrile neutropenia, the empirical strike back. Cancer Care Monitoba 6:1-6.

Laclercq R (2002). Mechanisms of resistance to macrolides and lincosamides: Nature of resistance elements and their clinical implications. Clinical Infectious Diseases 34:482-492.

Lim HS, Lee H, Roh KH, Yum JH, Yong D, Lee K, Chong Y (2006). Prevalence of inducible clindamycin resistance in staphylococcal isolates at Korean tertiary care hospital. Yonsei Medical Journal 47:480-484.

Lustberg MB (2012). Management of Neutropenia in Cancer Patients. Clinical Advances in Hematology and Oncology 10(12):825-826.

Matthew VN, Sullivan O, Cai Y, Kong F, Zeng X, Gilbert GL (2006). Influence of Disk Separation Distance on Accuracy of the Disk Approximation Test for Detection of Inducible Clindamycin Resistance in Staphylococcus spp. Journal of Clinical Microbiology. 44(11):4072-4076.

Nasehi L, Shahcheraghi F, Nikbin VS, Nematzadeh SH (2010). CTX-M, TEM and SHV Beta-lactamases In Clinical Isolates of Klebsiella pneumonia Isolated from Tahran, Iran. Iranian Journal of Basic Medical Sciences 13:111-118.

Steven MH, John IG (2008). Disorders of granulocytes and monocytes, chap 61. In: Fauci AS, Braunwald E, Isselacher KJ, Wilson JD, Martin JB, Kasper DL, Hauser SL, Longo DL, editors. *Harrison's principles of internal medicine.* 17. Singapore: Macgraw-Hill Book Co pp. 375–384.

Taj M, Farzana T, Shah T, Maqsood SS, Ahmed D, Shamsi TS (2015). Clinical and Microbiological Profile of Pathogens in Febrile Neutropenia in Hematological Malignancies: A Single Center Prospective Analysis. Journal of Oncology 2015(5):596504.

Villafuerte Gutierrez P, Villalon L, Losa JE, Henriquez Camacho C (2014). Treatment of Febrile Neutropenia and Prophylaxis in Hematologic Malignancies: A Critical Review and Update. Advances in Hematology 2014:9.

Viscoli C, Varnier O, Machetti M (2005). Infections in patients with febrile neutropenia: epidemiology, microbiology, and risk stratification. Clinical Infectious Diseases 40(Suppl 4):240-245.

Yilmaz G, Aydin K, Iskender S, Caylan R, Koksal I (2007). Detection and prevalence of inducible clindamycin resistance in staphylococci. Journal of Medical Microbiology 56:342-345.

Related Journals: